

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,203	0/615,203 07/07/2003		Carl A. Caspers	55508-296762	8044	
25764	7590	07/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FAEGRE 6			WILLSE, I	WILLSE, DAVID H		
PATENT DOCKETING 2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAP	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			. 3738		
		•		DATE MAILED: 07/22/2009	DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· i Mu					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/615,203	CASPERS, CARL A.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dave Willse	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u>	ulv 2003.						
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* '	' '					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, , , , ,	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9-22-03.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate · latent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3738

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 2

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caspers, US 5,549,709. Figure 8 illustrates a compressible and expandable liner 60B (column 8, lines 26-27) and a hypobarically controlled socket 52 (column 8, lines 15-50). The liner 60B having an adhering interface which sealingly engages the inner wall of the socket 52 to minimize air leakage and to form a permanent attachment would have been immediately obvious, if not inherent, in order to facilitate the positive and negative pressures associated with positive pressure valve 104 and vacuum valves 106 and 110 and in view of the vacuum tube 76 (Figure 4) and other components being rather permanently affixed onto the remainder of the prosthesis (Figure 3). Regarding claims 2-4, official notice is taken that laminating adhesive, contact cement, and paint-on glue were well known to the ordinary practitioner and would have been obvious means for sealingly attaching the liner 60B and socket 52, with the ordinary practitioner having been left to select an appropriate material and having been motivated by the smooth abutting contact between respective surfaces of said liner and socket.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,203 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sharp et al., US 2,533,404: Figures 1 and 3.

Claims 2, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharp et al., US 2,533,404. Substituting a laminating adhesive or a paint-on glue for the cement would have been an obvious choice of materials in order to find an optimal adhesive for use with materials selected for the liner and socket. Non-foamed, non-porous polyurethane would have been an obvious upgrade for the "rubber latex" (column 3, line 38) in view of the known advantages of the former material (e.g., flexibility, abrasion resistance, etc.), with Sharp et al. having been open to different materials for the adhesive (column 3, line 33) and the overlayer 33 (column 3, lines 37-38).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US 3,393,407:

Figures 6 and 7; column 2, lines 31-34.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse whose telephone number is 571-272-4762. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Dave Willse Primary Fyam

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3738